UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/540,879	01/23/2006	Hiroshi Otsuka	274434US3PCT	1800
	22850 7590 03/13/2007 . OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE STREET			REDDING, DAVID A		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1744		
	SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	3 MO	NTHS	03/13/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 03/13/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	L
		10/540,879	OTSUKA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		David A. Redding	1744	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS INSTRUCTION OF THE MAILING OF T	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 Jac</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-14 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachmen	t(s)			
1) 🛛 Notic 2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🖾 Inforr	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 1/29/07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/540,879

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

The indicated allowability of claim 2 is withdrawn. Rejections based on the cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Application/Control Number: 10/540,879

Art Unit: 1744

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USP6,245,413 ('413).

Figure 1 shows a cleaning sheet which is adapted to be attached to a cleaning head (figure 7), the wiping portion (figure 1) having a plurality of tacky recesses open in the front and rear edges of the sheet and in the shape of triangles, the leading points of the triangles pointing inwardly from each edge. The patent does not show the configuration having recesses open to opposite directions and interconnected to each other in a configuration such that the interconnected recesses are impenetrable from the opposite direction.

In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966) (The court held that the configuration of the claimed disposable plastic nursing container was a matter of choice which a person of ordinary skill in the art would have found obvious absent persuasive evidence that the particular configuration of the claimed container was significant.). Accordingly, in the absence of evidence that the claimed recess geometries are significantly different from those taught in the '413 patent, they are considered to be an obious matter of choice to one skilled in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,879

Art Unit: 1744

Claim 1,4-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USP 6,245,413 ('413) in view of US patent 6,550,092 (Brown et al.).

Figure 1 shows a cleaning sheet (1) which is adapted to be attached to a cleaning head (figure 7), the wiping portion (3)(figure 1) having a plurality of tacky recesses (17) formed in the wiping portion (3) and open in the front and rear edges of the sheet and in the shape of triangles, the leading points of the triangles pointing inwardly from each edge. The wiping portion is considered to read on the claimed "bulky member as defined in claims 9-13. The sheet also includes a base sheet or thin fixing portion (2) interposed between two wiping portions (3) resulting in a double sided reversible sheet (1) (see figure 2 and 3). The edges of the tacky recesses constitute a sloped portion, reading on claims 7,8,9, and 12.

The '413 patent discloses impregnating the sheet with an agent for adsorbing fine dust particles, but is silent as to a pressure sensitive adhesive (col. 6, lines 62-67).

The Brown et al. patent discloses a cleaning sheet having cavities in which a pressure sensitive adhesive is placed so as to aid the capture of dust particles (col. 4, lines51-64; col.5, lines 5-26). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to add a pressure sensitive adhesive to the recesses in the '413 patent in order to enhance the dust capturing capability as taught in the Brown et al. patent.

The '413 and Brown et al. patent are silent as to the geometries of the recesses defined in claim 14.

In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966) (The court held that the configuration of the claimed disposable plastic nursing container was a matter of choice which a person of ordinary skill in the art would have found obvious absent persuasive evidence that the particular configuration of the claimed container was significant.). Accordingly, in the absence of evidence that the claimed recess geometries are significantly different from those taught in the '413 patent, they are considered to be an obious matter of choice to one skilled in the art.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,2,4-14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A. Redding whose telephone number is 571-272-1276. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 6:00 - 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys Corcoran-Piazza can be reached on 571-272-1224. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,879 Page 6

Art Unit: 1744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

David A Redding Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744

DAR